

(43) Date of A Publication 31.08.2005

(21) Application No: 0404143.0

(22) Date of Filing: 25.02.2004

(71) Applicant(s):  
Patsystems (UK) Limited  
(Incorporated in the United Kingdom)  
22 Shand Street, LONDON, SE1 2ES,  
United Kingdom

(72) Inventor(s):  
John McGinley  
Ian Greaves

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service:  
Allstair Hamilton  
Ty Eurgain, Cefn Eurgain Lane,  
Rhosemor, MOLD, Flintshire, CH7 6PG,  
United Kingdom

(51) INT CL<sup>7</sup>:  
G06F 17/60 // G06F 11/30 11/34


(52) UK CL (Edition X):  
G4A AUXB

(56) Documents Cited:  
GB 2379083 A WO 2003/107607 A1  
WO 2002/001472 A1 US 6690647 B1  
US 5872976 A US 20030214964 A1

(58) Field of Search:  
UK CL (Edition W) G4A  
INT CL<sup>7</sup> G06F  
Other: Online: WPI, EPDOC, PAJ, OPTICS


(54) Abstract Title: Managing quality of service in an electronic trading system

(57) An electronic trading system for trading in e.g. financial instruments, comprises a quality-of-service (QoS) subsystem, which is operative to impose limitations upon trading activities in order that the performance of a component of the system or of the system as a whole is maintained within specified tolerances. For example, it may limit the number of events that can be initiated by a trader. It may also allow some messages to be routed through the system with a priority that is higher than others when such messages have a particular content (e.g. are inherently urgent in nature or essential to proper operation of the system) or are to or from a privileged user. The system may also have an integrated protocol stack for routing of data to enable the location of data bottlenecks to be identified. Messages may be processed according to a token bucket algorithm.



03 05 08

FIG. 1A



1/12





FIG. 1B

FIG. 1B

00 00 00

FIG. 1A

FIG. 1A



2/12




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2

00000000

FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B

MBean component provided by a third party. For example, the application server deployed MBeans.

FIG. 3B




FIG. 3B






FIG. 5







FIG. 6



9/12




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7A

FIG. 7B

10/12

FIG. 7A

Number of transactions	Total Time of Transaction	Maximum Transaction Time	Minimum Transaction Time
2	30	20	10

2	25	15	10
---	----	----	----

3	20	10	5
---	----	----	---

Update

Total Transaction Processed by Pool	Total Time Taken by Pool	Maximum Transaction Time within Pool	Minimum Transaction Time within pool
7	75	20	5

Average Transaction Time within Pool  
10.71

FIG. 7A

1

FIG. 7B




FIG. 8A

FIG. 8B

If a type specific token is not available the request is denied

FIG. 8B

FIG. 8A




FIG. 8A

FIG. 8B

### Electronic trading system

- 5 This invention relates to an electronic trading system. It has a particular application to a system for trading in intangible things, financial instruments. However, it might also find application in trading in anything that might be traded in an electronic market.

Providing a trader with an effective interface to an electronic market provides a considerable technical challenge. To be effective, the trader must be presented with  
10 accurate and timely information relating to the state of the market, and the trader must be able to buy and sell within the market at a known price. The complexity of the entire system is considerably complicated by the fact that there are a variable number of traders active at any one time, and that it is not possible to predict accurately when any one of them may initiate a trading request.

- 15 In addition to straightforward performance in processing of transactions, it is also of great importance that the performance is maintained within well-defined limits. That can be expressed as a guaranteed quality of service (QoS).

The ultimate performance of the trading system may depend upon the hardware upon which its software is executing and upon fixed infrastructure, such as  
20 telecommunication links, that cannot economically be upgraded to cope with the maximum anticipated system load. Therefore, an aim of this invention is to provide a trading system that can offer a required QoS to a trader interacting with an electronic market.

- From a first aspect, this invention provides a trading system comprising a quality-of-  
25 service (QoS) subsystem, which subsystem is operative to impose limitations upon trading activities in order that the performance of the system as a whole is maintained within specified tolerances.

Very generally, the QoS subsystem imposes limitations upon specific activities to preserve the overall well-being of the system. It ensures that users are not permitted to make demands upon the system that go beyond the capacity of the platforms on which it is implemented.

- 5 As a first example of its function, the QoS subsystem may impose a limit upon the rate at which data can enter the system. For example, it may limit the number of requests that will be accepted on an input. For example, it may control the number of requests that can be made in a time slice. Within that time slice a limit may alternatively or additionally be placed on the size of burst data that may be received into the system.
  - 10 Suitably, the token bucket algorithm may be used in order to limit the flow of requests into the system. This algorithm is commonly used in computer networking to control the flow of data packets in a network and can limit throughput in a moving timeslice rather than in fixed, periodic time slots. However, the advantages that it provides are not generally recognised by those skilled in the technology of this invention.
  - 15 Where operating regulations allow, it may be advantageous to provide a level of service that is dependent upon the identity of a user from which a service originates or to whom it is directed. Thus, the system may, at any time, allow a request to enter the system conditionally upon the source or destination of the request. It may also be dependent upon the nature of the service.
  - 20 An important aspect to the control of QoS is control of all aspects of data transport within the system. Therefore, it is particularly advantageous that a single integrated metric stack handles all data transportation within the system from the business level down to the hardware level.
- A further preferred feature of the QoS subsystem is an ability for the system to measure
- 25 its performance and dynamically reconfigure itself based on these measurements to ensure a defined level of quality-of-service. For example, the system may provide the ability to intelligently shed load based on business priority, intelligently delay updates, operate in a distributed manner (requiring no centralised point of control) and limit bandwidth consumption to a predefined maximum at a business defined application
  - 30 level. This is in contrast to the simpler concept of limiting load at a network level.

A trading system embodying the invention may incorporate priority-based routing. That is to say, the QoS subsystem may be operative to assign a priority to a message, messages with a high priority being handled in preference to those with a low priority. The priority may be determined in accordance with one or more of the sender of the message, the recipient of the message or the content of the message. For example, the priority may be a numerical value that is calculated by addition of contributed values derived from one or more of the sender of the message, the recipient of the message or the content of the message.

The QoS subsystem may be operative to control latency and accuracy of communication of data from the trading system to external client applications. For instance, the client application may request that the data is sent as fast as possible or that data batching may be applied. In effect, a client can connect and request that the system batch data (high latency) but that all changes must be sent, or the client could request that a low-latency link be established and that only the latest data is required. Moreover, the client application may request that all data changes during a period are to be reported or that only the latest data be reported.

Conveniently, the QoS subsystem may monitor performance of the application by way of Java management extensions.

More generally, a trading system embodying the invention may use a rule-based system to control alarm reporting, fault diagnosis and reconfiguration. This provides for a great amount of flexibility in configuration of the system.

An embodiment of the invention will now be described in detail, by way of example, and with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a diagram showing the principal logical layout of a system embodying the invention;

Figure 2 is a diagram showing the object broadcast bus, being a component of the embodiment of Figure 1, and its link to the QoS subsystem;



Figure 3 is a diagram that illustrates the design of this QoS module of the embodiment of Figure 1;

Figure 4 is a diagram that illustrates interactions between the MBeans and bean pools in the QoS subsystem;

- 5 Figure 5 illustrates various parameters measured by the QoS subsystem in the embodiment of Figure 1;

Figure 6 illustrates monitoring of response time of objects within the embodiment;

Figure 7 illustrates the operation of request bandwidth control; and

Figure 8 is a diagram illustrating operation of the "token bucket" algorithm.

- 10 The invention will be described in the context of an electronic trading platform. The overall system is based on the 'layer' pattern. The following diagram presents the high-level logical view of the system. Note not all packages are displayed; only those that show significant architectural concepts. Moreover, many of the packages are not of direct relevance to the invention and are described only to the extent required to place  
15 the description of the invention in context.

This embodiment is implemented using the Java language, and it is assumed that the skilled person to whom this description is addressed is familiar with Java and associated technologies. However, it will be understood that a Java implementation is merely a preference and is not essential to the invention.

## 20 **The Layers**

The following sections detail the role of the components within the system, and the interaction between the layers of the system.

### **Infrastructure Layer**

- The infrastructure layer provides the basic functionality required for the system such as;  
25 persistent data storage, a standard interface for access to asynchronous messaging, a system wide accessible mechanism for event logging, a system wide mechanism for rule

processing, a centralized system for security and access control and a system wide service location facility.

#### Domain Layer

5 The domain layer provides a set of shared resources for executing the business processes of the system such as order entry, price distribution, contract (instrument) management and message routing. This layer should be thought of as providing a set of 'services' that can be consumed by the application layer. In this respect the architecture is similar to the 'service oriented architecture' employed in the web services field. The following diagram shows how interfaces are exposed from the domain logic layer and  
10 aggregated by the application layer to provide different applications via the use of a 'virtual' service bus.

#### Application Interface Layer

The application interface layer acts as an aggregation of services provided by the domain layer and provides the distribution protocol for inter/intra-net connectivity. The  
15 packages in this layer aggregate services provided by the domain layer into the applications that are required.

#### Presentation Layer

The presentation layer handles how the screen rendering is conducted. It contains the minimum logic required to achieve this goal. It contains a screen rendering package, a  
20 lightweight object proxy implementation and a communications library package.

#### The Packages

This section provides a brief overview of the responsibilities of each of the packages within the system. This is only intended to give a brief overview of what a package does and is not a comprehensive description of the responsibilities of each package.

#### 25 Swing

This package is concerned with providing the graphical components required for screen rendering for the entire system. It is based on the Java Swing classes.

### Object Proxy

This package is a very thin object proxy implementation simply to support the client side access to the concrete objects within the application interface layer.

### Communications Package

- 5 This package contains the code required for intra/inter net communications. This package is deployed both in the application layer and the presentation layer. It supports the use of TCP/IP (via SSL/TLS), serialized objects over HTTP(S) and XML over HTTP(S).

### Trading Client (TC)

- 10 The TC is responsible for aggregating the functionality required for a user interactive trading application and providing the statefull session management of this connection. The services for submitting, amending, cancelling orders and receiving prices are aggregated together to provide the trading application.

### Systems Administration Client (SAC)

- 15 The SAC is used to configure the standing data in the system such as contracts, user accounts, order routes etc. The services such as contract configuration, editing user accounts and setting passwords are aggregated to provide the system administration application.

### Risk Administration Client (RAC)

- 20 The RAC application provides the pre-trade risk permissioning and the post-trade risk monitoring within the system. The services for editing account limits, monitoring risk parameters and editing risk system rules are aggregated to provide the risk management system.

### Financial Information Exchange (FIX) interface

The FIX interface package provides a non-interactive (non GUI) route into the trading system and is primarily designed to service FIX message 'pipes'. It aggregates services such as order submission, amendment and cancellation.

#### 5 Fill interface (FIL)

The FIL interface is another example of non-interactive connections with the system and is supplied to provide a feed of fills out of the system for use by third party back office systems such as Ralph & Nolan. It aggregates services such as fills.

### System Monitoring Client (SMC)

- 10 The SMC's primary role is to provide an electronic 'flight-deck' view of the system components and reporting system performance and faults. Its primary user would be technical support. It aggregates the services provided by the Quality-Of-Service (QOS) package and the statistic services provided by the other domain packages, such as message throughput, idle time, peak load etc.

#### 15 Object Broadcast Service (OBS)

The OBS handles differing requirements for broadcasting updates of objects (i.e. orders, prices) to a client application.

- 20 The first is to broadcast an update (object alteration) to many specific clients, ignoring other logged in clients, such as a change to an order, which should go to every logged in trader in that broadcast group, even if they didn't implicitly request notification for that object.

- 25 The second requirement is to broadcast an update (object alteration) to many clients, this time not using a broadcast group but based on the objects the client requested. For example, a price update must go to many clients but only the clients that requested this price (object) and the clients may be in differing broadcast groups.

The OBS is a pool of stateless beans that store these object to application mappings, in effect an application subscribes to an object. When the OBS is informed of an object update, it broadcasts the change to all subscribed applications.

#### Risk Management System (RMS)

- 5 The role of the RMS package is to provide both the pre-trade risk management (order permissioning) and post trade risk monitoring (profit & loss). It provides services that are accessible primarily from the RAC but could also provide services such as profit & loss services to be consumed by the trading application if required.

#### Order Management System (OMS)

- 10 The role of OMS package is to provide the services required for placing, amending, cancelling and querying of orders. In addition to providing these services the OMS also takes care of the in system execution of orders (see the Managing Orders Use-Case) where necessary. It manages orders from many users so is in effect a shared resource, and can be run in parallel.
- 15 The OMS can be parallelised because in the majority of cases orders are independent from each other. For example, a trader places a limit order then places a market order, these two orders are independent in how they are run, in other words there is no interaction between these two orders as far as reporting order states, processing fills etc. is concerned. Because, orders are independent there is no requirement to have all orders
- 20 for a user or TAG registered in the same OMS. An exception to this rule is where a multiple leg order (for example and OCO or MEL) is entered and in this case all legs of the order must be registered and managed from the same OMS.

The OMS also has the role of managing the triggering of synthetic orders such as the Stop and Market-If-Touched.

- 25 Order Book Management System (OBMS)

The OBMS provides services such as order status notification, order status querying, order fill processing, and the provision of segmented views based on individual

user/account details of the centralized order book. It also provides a 'centralised' account position service.

Applications such as the trading client and risk administration client register interest in receiving information and updates from the OBMS, which responds to input events from the OMSs and fill interfaces. The rationale for dividing order information from the actual order is that some client applications may need to access order information, for example history, current status and fills, but may not be allowed to directly affect the order, for example cancel or amend it. Equally there may be the requirement to allow orders not entered via the system to be represented in the system, for example processing fills and orders entered via a different trading system. In this latter case, there is no concept of the order within our system and it can therefore not exist in the OMS, but we must be able to display the order and maintain its position.

#### Contract Management System (CMS)

The CMS provides services to locate and download information describing tradable entities. It provides the interfaces to obtain execution point and instrument-specific (commodity and contract) information.

#### Price Subscription Controller (PSC)

The PSC provides a centralized point for access to and a subscription/mechanism for application layer packages to access price information using batching and polling methods. Note the components within the Domain Layer (and certain high performance application layer applications) directly access price information of the 'PriceBus' and do not obtain price information from the PSC.

#### Administration System (AS)

The AS provides the services required for administering the system. For example allowing contracts and user accounts to be configured, order routes to be configured etc.

### Data Store (DS)

- The DS is responsible for serving the domain and application packages with the data objects within the system such as orders, contract configuration, user accounts, trader accounts etc. It provides a global repository for read and write operations on objects, caching of the objects stored via the persistence package of the infra-structure layer, operates in a lazy read mode, and automatically manages stale data.

All read and write operations on data objects, that must be persisted, go *via* the DataStore.

### Message Routing System (MRS)

- The MRS supports the routing of messages between domain layer packages, based on a database of message routing rules. It operates in a stateless manner and coordinates the consumption and delivery of messages to and from the queues, which link the domain packages together. The MRS initially uses MOM queues to communicate between system components but should be treated as a façade allowing a different communication system (TCP/IP, e-mail) to be used as appropriate.

### ESA Adapter (ESAA)

The ESA (ESA Adapter) acts as a 'bridge' between the EE system and the legacy ESAs. It contains four interfaces these being the orders, fills, prices and configuration data. Additional interfaces may be designed dependant upon specific exchange requirements.

- Exchange Gateway (EG)

The EG implements the interface to the exchange-specific gateways. They implement four interfaces, these being a prices interface, an orders interface, a fills interface and a standing/configuration data interface. The internal workings of the EGs are specific to each exchange.

- Quality of Service (QoS)

The QoS is responsible for monitoring and gathering the various QoS parameters required from the system. It also provides these parameters via a set of services to the

SMC. In addition to this it can be configured to apply a set of rules and if warning or errors are detected and log these via the Log4J package and also if required initiate alerts to administration staff.

#### Security and License Provider (SLP)

- 5 The SLP manages the security logon requests and authentication of users and modules within the system.

#### Persistence Façade (PF)

The persistence façade provides a coherent interface for persistent storage within the system. It provides storage via JDBC to a third-party RDMS vendor and to disk.

- 10 Communications Façade (CF)

The communications façade provides a coherent interface for message queuing and publish-subscribe via JMS to a third party MOM vendor.

#### Rule Engine (RE)

- 15 A third-party rule execution engine is employed within the architecture to provide the user-defined order routing requirements of the MRS. In addition, the rules engine can be employed by the RMS, if required, to provide more complex rule-based order permissioning.

#### Logging Package (LP)

A third party logging API is used within the system to provide the ability to;

- 20
- Log messages in a system wide consistent manner.
  - Support varying formats of log file for example plain text, HTML, XML or binary format messages
  - Persist messages via JDBC
  - Send log entries via JavaMail (SMTP,POP3,IMAP, etc)



- Manage log entries via JNDI.

This package may require extending to support transactional logging. By utilizing the same logging method across all packages we provide a consistent system wide format of logs.

## 5 Service Locator (SL)

This package provides centralized and abstracted access to JNDI services. Multiple clients use the service locator, thus reducing complexity and improving performance by caching previously identified resources.

### Summary

- 10 This section has shown how the architecture of this embodiment is divided into distinct logical layers, from the basic system wide functionality in the infrastructure layer through the business logic of the domain layer, to the aggregation of these business services in the application layer then onto the presentation layer. Cross-cutting concerns such as logging, auditing and security have been addressed by providing
- 15 centralised functionality in the infrastructure layer in the logging package and the security and license provider. Vendor dependencies on RDBMS and MOM have been abstracted and placed in specific components within the system, in the persistence façade and the messaging façade components respectively, therefore reducing the rework required to use other third party applications.
- 20 Vendor dependencies due to application server (AS), which generally (although not exclusively) amount to JNDI lookups, have been isolated into the Service Locator package. This Service Locator also acts as a caching interface to JNDI to improve performance.

- 25 The responsibility for message flow through the system is decoupled from the components to a discrete messaging subsystem that uses user-defined rules to govern message flow. This provides flexibility in how components can be deployed and the interactions between components.

By providing a broadcast concept into the distribution of prices the embodiment delivers efficient price distribution, both in terms of speed and bandwidth usage. A price concentrator/repeater pair and a price distribution service are capable of batching price updates and delivering them *via* XML over HTTP. Although multicast does not  
 5 supply reliable delivery of packets, with the application of the JavaGroups software the system can build up a sequenced and reliable protocol stack if required with no architectural impact.

Having described the context within which the various aspects invention can be implemented in a manner that will be clear to those familiar with the technical field, the  
 10 specific parts of the system that provide the functionality will now be described in more detail.

#### **Specific objects in more detail**

The QoS module and those subsystems and modules with which it interacts will now be described in more detail.

- 15 The Object Broadcast Service (OBS) is a subsystem that asynchronously sends object updates to the relevant client instances. It is described here because the return route from the domain layer to the application layer for many of the objects (orders, fills, prices, contracts) is through the OBS and its proper operation is therefore critical to the level of service that the system can provide.
- 20 Figure 2 illustrates the main components of the OBS. The OBS is based upon JavaGroups, which is a technology that implements reliable multicast communications between group members based on IP multicast and a configurable protocol stack. The function of JavaGroups will be appreciated by those skilled in the technical field, and this will, therefore, not be described in detail here. Further information, should it be  
 25 wanted, can be found in JavaGroups User's Guide, Bela Ban, Dept. of Computer Science, Cornell University.

All object updates are notified to an object broadcaster that runs as a Java process outside the application server. The object broadcaster broadcasts onto a JavaGroups

channel. Every communications stub (to be described) receives these object updates and filters them to ensure that a client application only receives the relevant updates.

As a client connects to the system, a communications stub is first created on the application layer. This communications stub is assigned a broadcast group based on information relevant to the application and user that connected. This information is retrieved as part of the security checks carried out by the security and license manager. The communications stub then creates a JavaGroups channel and connects onto the object broadcast bus.

Whenever an object is updated, the relevant domain component (OMS, RMS, IMS etc) issues an RMI call to its relevant object broadcaster. The object broadcaster simply broadcasts this object update onto the object broadcast bus. Every communications stub within the application layer will receive the object update. Each stub then filters the object based upon its own internal filter chain to ascertain if it can forward this object update. If a stub is required to forward this update, it then issues an update object call to the communications protocol converter and thence to the client application in the presentation layer. If the object is not to be forwarded the stub simply ignores the object update.

The QoS component (to be described in more detail below) listens to the object broadcast bus and gathers statistics on the number of object broadcasts being generated. It also monitors the broadcast group for communications stubs joining and leaving the bus. Additionally, it monitors for component failures, which is supported by deploying the group membership component within the JavaGroup protocol stack.

### **The QoS Subsystem**

Quality of service monitoring is an integral part of the trading platform. The role of QoS is to monitor the system resource utilization, allow dynamic reconfiguration of components, allow dynamic fault investigation and provide a feed of data in an industry-standard form that can potentially be plugged into existing management consoles. To this end, the use of Java Management Extensions (JMX) has been adopted into the trading system architecture. The QoS management within this architecture is focussed at the business process and application level, rather than at the lower

networking level. Software infrastructure and hardware infrastructure management can be embedded into the system through use of third party MBeans if available.

A standard logging package Log4J, managed by the Apache Software Foundation, provides a system-wide standard for logging, extended to support transactional logging.

- 5 For example, the system can start a transaction to log messages, errors and other events. It then can either commit the changes, whereupon they will be forwarded to the log sink, or rollback the log, effectively throwing away all entries logged within the transaction. During a transaction, logging is not committed to disc to improve performance: only upon commit is the log flushed to disk. Auditing works in a similar  
10 manner however does not support the transaction control.

Figure 3 shows the overall design of this component and how it integrates into the rest of the system.

- The major point to note is that an MBean (a Java object that represents a manageable resource, such as an application, a service, a component, or a device) is deployed on a  
15 per-pool basis to allow the monitoring and management of the entire bean pool. MBeans can also be integrated through a standard MBean server to allow the monitoring and management of applications and of the software infrastructure as well, if required.

- The interactions between MBeans and pools will now be described. Figure 4 shows  
20 how upon creation of a pool bean by invoking the method `ejbCreate()`, the relevant MBean is located by the `ServiceLocator`. The pool bean (most typically a stateless session bean) then registers itself with its manager bean (MBean). The MBean updates its internal statistics, for example, how many beans are currently in the pool, rate of creation/destruction etc. Then the instance of the bean (EJBObject) is stored in the local  
25 cache of the MBean. The MBean then issues an update signal *via* the MBean Server so to inform any QoS user interface of the latest state of the pool.

As the QoS user interface issues management functions, these are relayed *via* the MBean Server to the relevant MBean. The MBean then issues multiple method calls to all of the beans within a pool by referencing its internal cache of EJBObjects. Likewise,

as each bean issues a notification by invoking the `update(...)` method, the MBean processes these multiple calls and then makes an `update(...)` method call containing the relevant data as required.

When the container removes the bean from the pool using the method `ejbRemove()`, the  
5 bean must call the `deRegister(...)` method to inform the MBean to remove its reference from its local store and also issue a new `update(...)` message to the MBean server.

The above describes the basic architecture of the manner in which JMX is enabled within the system. Attention now turns to the method of alarm generation, alarm  
10 management and remote notification.

Within the MBean specification are specific beans that implement counter and gauge functionality. These are initially employed to produce the required trigger events. Timer beans are used to trigger statistical update events based on a predefined time period. The QoS Management application is configured to receive these notifications  
15 and to act as a central repository of messages. These events are transported to the QoS management application through an RMI connector, which itself is implemented as an MBean, allowing it to be dynamically loaded/unloaded as required.

The QoS manager can also access the rules engine (if required) through the rule engine bean. This allowing the implementation of specific customer rules with no change to  
20 the application. The JavaMail API is used to support SMTP and POP email communication. This allows the management application to issue alerts and reports to maintenance personnel, who may be remote from the site at which the system is installed.

In more advanced embodiments of the invention, the QoS manager may be extended to  
25 actively manage the system. For example, the QoS manager may change bean configuration parameters, and alter application server or message queuing parameters during while the system is running.

Centralised logging is also integrated into the system through the use of Log4J and using the JMX support that Log4J provides. This allows the system to alter logging

levels and parameters dynamically during run-time. It also supports the automatic notification of alarm conditions directly to the QoS manager without the need to scan log files on disc. The actual method of logging to disc is by the Log4J SocketAppender and SimpleSocketServer. This allows multiple writers to asynchronously write to the  
 5 same log file. By decoupling the write/store process through a network connection, the actual process of writing to disc may be offloaded onto another machine. This approach may also be used for producing the audit file.

The parameters that the QoS subsystem monitors and logs will now be described.

The QoS subsystem can be considered as operating at several levels within the system.  
 10 In this embodiment, the levels are defined as follows:

- level 1 – hardware and infrastructure monitoring;
- level 2 – software infrastructure monitoring;
- level 3 – application monitoring; and
- level 4 – business process monitoring.

15 Monitoring at the lowest level, level 1, enables hardware faults to be identified and load to be measured. Level 2 monitoring enables faults in software infrastructure components, such as databases and message oriented middleware faults to be identified. It also allows load within the software infrastructure to be measured. At level 3, monitoring enables end-to-end monitoring of an application. This monitoring is  
 20 business process agnostic and provides measures on how well an application is performing regardless of its business use. The highest level, Level 4, is concerned with monitoring how well a business process is functioning.

For example, assume that users experience order processing (a business process) is running slowly. Without the ability to drill down to the application layer, this  
 25 information is of little use. However, if monitoring at level 3 reveals that the order management system is performing slowly, a technician can further drill down through layer 2 to discover, for example, that the database writes-per-second rate is low. This might lead on to investigation at level 1 which might, for example, reveal that the discs

are full. Although this is a trivial example it demonstrates the need to be able to navigate down through the layers of a system. Monitoring at level 1 is a common and well-understood system implementation task and will not, therefore, be described further. The QoS management component is designed to address the needs of levels 2, 3 and 4.

Equally, active management of the QoS that a system offers requires the performance of three separate tasks, these being:

- measurement of the system state at all the levels discussed previously;
- decision and prediction based on observed system state; and
- 10 • management of system configuration to enhance and/or manage system state.

The parameters that are measured by the QoS component of this embodiment can be divided into layers as described above. The following table shows examples of the parameters and associated level to be measured. Note this is not an exhaustive list; other embodiments may require monitoring of additional or fewer parameters. Also,

15 Level 2 parameters depend on the particular application server and database server deployed in a particular embodiment.

Level	Parameter	
Level 1 Hardware Infrastructure, Router, Processor etc.	System dependent therefore not defined here.	
Level 2 Software Infrastructure, Database server, Message Broker, Application Server, Rule Engine etc.	Bean Pool Usage, Number of Active Beans, Number of Bean Activations, Number of Bean Passivations, Number of Queued Jobs, Number of Message Sent per second, DB reads per second, DB writes per second, DB cache hits per second,	DB cache usage, Messages sent by queue per second, Messages received by queue per second, Bytes send by queue per second, Bytes received by queue per second, Queue Length, Idle Threads, Memory Usage
Level 3 Component Performance, Order Management System, Risk Management System, Message Routing System etc.	<b>Generic Parameters</b>	<b>Component Specific</b>
	Number of Jobs processed per second, Time taken to process job, Application Status	Method specific parameters such as number of invocations per second
Level 4 Business Process performance	Order Round Trip time, Orders Placements per second Order Cancellations per second Order Amendments per second, Price Transit time, Prices Sent per second per exchange, Login time for Users,	System Overall Performance, Number of Concurrent Users, Number of User Requests per second, Bandwidth Consumption per User, Orders Processed per second per Exchange Order Processed per second per User

Table 1

- All of the parameters described in Table 1 can be measured on a maximum, minimum and average basis. The system can also alter the sampling rate that at which these measurements are taken. For example, the system allows the above parameters to be measured over a period ranging from 15 seconds to 5 minutes. It may also log a predetermined number (say, ten) best and worst measurements and the time at which they occurred. These measurements may be saved to a permanent store for later analysis. Figure 5 presents these parameters in diagrammatic form.
- 10 Consider the specific example of measurement of timings associated with a message as it is handles by the system.



A message is time stamped (using UTC to millisecond accuracy) at the following points in the system: as it leaves the user interface (A); when it arrives at the server (B); when it leaves the server to the exchange (C); when the exchange responds (D); when it leaves the server for transmission to the user interface (E); and when it arrives at the user interface (F). From these time stamps the following timings can be calculated:

Total Round Trip Time	F-A
Total Processing Time	(C-B) + (E-D)
Exchange Latency	D-C
Network Latency	(B-A) + (F-E)

**Table 2**

Figure 6 shows how the maximum, minimum and average method invocation times are calculated within the individual bean instances and across the bean pool.

Each bean (A, B and C) within the pool individually counts the number of invocations (per relevant method) and the total time taken within each method. They also keep the maximum and minimum method invocation times. At the end of the sample period they update the respective component manager with the individual counters and reset these counters for the next period. The component manager then aggregates the individual counters to provide pool-based statistics of maximum, minimum and totals. It also calculates the average transaction time within pool by dividing the 'Total Time Taken by Pool' by the 'Total Transaction Processed by Pool' variables ( $75/7 \approx 10.71\text{ms}$  in the example shown in Figure 6).

The parameters are reported as a snapshot every  $n$  seconds, where  $n$  is the sampling period. The values of the snapshot are based on the aggregated values (as above) of the individual bean values during this  $n$  seconds. The sampling period is configurable on a component-by-component basis.

To implement comprehensive QoS management, measurement of operating parameters alone is not sufficient: decisions must be made based upon the parameters measured. Likewise, once parameters have been identified and correlated, and a decision or prediction has been reached, it is advantageous to manage the system actively based on these observations to prevent occurrence of problems occurring. This provides a more reliable system than one that reacts to problems as they occur. There will now be

described details the QoS mechanisms that can be built into a trading system to implement this.

### **Latency and Accuracy of Data Transmission**

This requirement applies to the communication of data from the trading system to  
5 external client applications. It is possible to request that the data is sent as fast as possible or that data batching may be applied. It is also possible to request whether all data changes during the period are to be reported or that only the latest data be reported. This communication link support is negotiated during logon to the external application. In effect, a client can connect and request that the system batch data (high latency) but  
10 that all changes must be sent, or the client could request that a low-latency link be established and that only the latest data is required. This communication link 'quality' depends on the requirements of the external applications and the intermediate communication link (ISDN, 100Mbit LAN etc.). In response to this request the trading system responds by informing the external application whether it can support the  
15 requested link quality or not. It is up to the external application to either renegotiate or accept the systems communication quality offer.

### **Bandwidth Control**

The system can limit the bandwidth available to a user and ensure that the available bandwidth is fairly distributed between clients. There are two aspects to this: firstly to  
20 ensure that bandwidth to which a user has access does not exceed a previously defined limit; and secondly to dynamically limit the bandwidth to which a user has access to ensure overall system performance is not degraded. Therefore, the QoS subsystem provides a 'fair' allocation of network resources between connected users.

By this mechanism the QoS subsystem can take remedial action to prevent system  
25 performance from becoming compromised through excessive loading. For example, if the QoS subsystem determines that the system as a whole is becoming overloaded, it can slow down the rate at which users can enter orders until system load has decreased. Once load has decrease, it can then once again increase the allowed rate of user input.

This is achieved by enabling the system to control bandwidth usage based both on a static configuration per user and also dynamically, as will now be described.

### *Static Bandwidth Control*

- Static bandwidth control is implemented by only allowing a user to submit a fixed number  $x$  requests per time unit. The time unit is configurable and is also dynamically updateable. That is to say, the user does not have to log out and then back in for a change in the value  $x$  to take effect.

- These request limits are organized around the ability to place, amend, cancel or query an order and the total number of request of all types. If a value of zero is specified for any of these parameters then the user has unlimited access to the function controlled by the parameter. An example is set forth in the following tables.

Parameter	Value	Effect
Place Order	10	The user can issue up to 10 order placements, or order amendment request per second and in total must not exceed 10 requests per second. Because the value query and cancel order are zero the user has unlimited access to these requests so can issue more than 10 requests per second in total.
Amend Order	10	
Query Order	0	
Cancel Order	0	
Total Request	10	
TimeUnit	1	

**Table 3**

Parameter	Value	Effect
Place Order	10	The user can issue up to 10 order placements and up to one order amendment request per second, and in total must not exceed 10 requests per second. Because the value query and cancel order are zero the user has unlimited access to these requests so can issue more than 10 request per second in total.
Amend Order	1	
Query Order	0	
Cancel Order	0	
Total Request	10	
TimeUnit	1	

**Table 4**

Parameter	Value	Effect
Place Order	0	The user can issue unlimited request to place, amend, query and cancel orders.
Amend Order	0	
Query Order	0	
Cancel Order	0	
Total Request	0	
TimeUnit	0	

Table 5

Parameter	Value	Effect
Place Order	10	The user can issue up to 10 order placement or order cancellations, and up to five order amendments or order status queries in any five-second period. The user may not exceed ten requests in total in any five-second period.
Amend Order	5	
Query Order	5	
Cancel Order	10	
Total Request	10	
TimeUnit	5	

Table 6

The time period of this requirement is treated as a rolling window and not as an absolute  
 5 time period. This requirement is conveniently implemented as a modified 'token bucket' (TB) algorithm as detailed below. The general process is illustrated in Figure 7.

The request-specific tokens (Place, Amend, Query and Cancel) are generated at rate  $r$  which is  $\text{TimeUnit} / \text{RequestSpecificRate}$ . In other words the system generates four token specific rates:

$$10 \quad r_{\text{place}} = \text{TimeUnit} / \text{PlaceOrderRate}$$

$$r_{\text{amend}} = \text{TimeUnit} / \text{AmendOrderRate}$$

$$r_{\text{query}} = \text{TimeUnit} / \text{QueryOrderRate}$$

$$r_{\text{cancel}} = \text{TimeUnit} / \text{CancelOrderRate}$$

The tokens are placed into the relevant request-specific bucket. Additionally the 'total request' tokens are generated at rate

$$r_{total} = \text{TimeUnit} / \text{TotalRequest}$$

- and placed into the 'total rate' token bucket. Tokens are placed into the buckets until  
 5 the request rate (PlaceOrderRate, AmendOrderRate etc) is met, at which time additional tokens are ignored. This is termed the 'depth'. Therefore only a maximum of 'rate' tokens may be in a bucket at any point in time.

- Note that by setting TimeUnit to zero this disables token creation and therefore completely blocks submission of requests. Request type rates (for example,  
 10 CancelOrderRate) that are set at zero however are still processed correctly.

Upon receipt of a request the bandwidth control algorithm first determines if the specific request rate (PlaceOrderRate, AmendOrderRate etc) is zero. If it is, the request is immediately forwarded. Otherwise, the request is forwarded to the request-specific bucket.

- 15 If a token for this request is available in the request-specific token bucket a token is removed and the request is forwarded to the total requests token bucket. Otherwise, the request is denied.

- The total requests token bucket acts in a similar fashion. Upon receipt of a request, an attempt is made to remove a token from the bucket regardless of the request type. If a  
 20 token can be removed then the request is forwarded, otherwise the request is denied.

This static choking mechanism is implemented at the extremities of the system: in the trading client and in the inbound FIX gateway.

The mechanism by which processing of batches of requests operates will now be described.

- 25 Each request within the batch is taken into account and as such consumes one token of the relevant type. If the number of tokens is exceeded, all tokens are replaced into the bucket (to the maximum depth allowed) and the request is rejected as before. For

example, assume that the user can place 10 orders per second and that they submit a batch of 15 orders. Fifteen tokens would need to be consumed but only ten are available therefore the batch is rejected and the ten consumed tokens are place back into the bucket.

- 5 The parameters (OrderTokenRate, TimeUnit etc) are defined at a user group level and not at the individual user level in this embodiment. All users within a group will operate in parallel to each other with respect to the parameter settings. Additionally there is a requirement for a 'Disabled User' group to be created. Users in this group have the UnitTime set at zero. Users can be placed in this group to stop them entering  
10 requests into the system.

#### *Dynamic bandwidth control*

- Dynamic bandwidth control is implemented using a throttling mechanism. The first place at which dynamic bandwidth control occurs is located at the client-side object interface and the second is implemented in the messaging façade of the infrastructure  
15 component. Note this throttling is in addition to the message flow control and queue length controls of the MOM.

- This throttling must support dynamic reconfiguration through the QoS management console and, in the case of user input throttling, through the systems administration component during user set-up to define a default bandwidth quota. Equally, a  
20 mechanism to dynamically control user input throttling is provided.

The message façade bandwidth control will be automatically controlled by the system. By this arrangement, as system performance limits are reached, the QoS subsystem automatically begins to throttle message throughput to restore system performance.

#### **The Token Bucket Algorithm**

- 25 As it is central to operation of bandwidth control in this embodiment, operation of the token bucket algorithm will now be described with reference to Figure 8. Naturally, all of the objects described here are software objects that can be implemented in many ways.

Tokens are placed in a 'bucket' at a predetermined rate  $r$  (for example, five per second). Tokens accumulate in the bucket to a maximum depth of  $D$ . Tokens placed in the bucket once the maximum depth has been reached are discarded.

- When messages arrive (Data In), each message takes a token from the bucket and passes through (Data Out). If no token can be taken from the bucket the message must wait until a token is available, or be discarded.

The rate of token addition  $r$  controls the average flow rate. The depth of the bucket  $D$  controls the maximum size of burst that can be forwarded through.

### **Priority Traffic Routing**

- 10 In a communications route that is operating within a bandwidth target certain types of message must be delivered before others. In this embodiment, message routing priority can be altered in dependence upon customer or business requirements. For example, an organisation may configure the system such that trades placed by an internal trader have a higher delivery priority than trades placed through a 'black box' trading application.
- 15 The prioritisation of routing may also be used to ensure that a given SLA is being met by dynamically altering message priority to ensure timely processing through the system. A user may also have a requirement to prioritise certain traffic types (for example order cancellations) over other traffic types (for example order placement). This delivery prioritisation is applied at both the message and queue level and can be
- 20 altered dynamically through the QoS management console.

Traffic prioritisation can be divided into the following areas: general message priority (MP) and user group priority (UP).

- The MP and UP areas messages are divided into two general categories these being normal priority (NP) and expedited priority (EP). There is also a prioritisation category
- 25 (PC) on the message type. This provides for all message of a given type to be expedited regardless of whether the message was initiated by a trader within the normal priority group or expedited group. There is also the concept of queue prioritisation (QP). This can be applied to ensure that all messages to Liffe, for example, are processed before messages to any other exchange.

Therefore, the system can prioritise based upon type of message (MP), user the message originated from (UP) and also override the priority if required using the prioritisation category (PC). The examples presented in the following tables will make this clearer.

- 5 The example of Table 7 shows how cancellations are always sent before any other message within user group, and messages from users within group B are always sent before messages from users in groups A. To arrive at the priority, add the relevant MP+UP+PC together to a maximum number of 9.

Message Type	MP	PC	User	UP		NP	EP		A	B
Add	NP	NP	A	NP	MP	1	2	Add	$1+1+1 = 3$	$1+3+1 = 5$
Cancel	EP	NP	B	EP	UP	1	3	Cancel	$2+1+1 = 4$	$2+3+1 = 6$
Amend	NP	NP			PC	1	1	Amend	$1+1+1 = 3$	$1+3+1 = 5$
Query	NP	NP						Query	$1+1+1 = 3$	$1+3+1 = 5$

Table 7

- 10 The example of Table 8 shows how query requests are always sent before any other message regardless of user, but within user prioritisation, cancellations are sent first and B's messages are always sent before A's messages. Also note that queries from higher priority users are given preference over normal priority users.

Message Type	MP	PC	User	UP		NP	EP		A	B
Add	NP	NP	A	NP	GMP	1	2	Add	$1+1+1=3$	$1+3+1 = 5$
Cancel	EP	NP	B	EP	UMP	1	3	Cancel	$2+1+1=4$	$2+3+1 = 6$
Amend	NP	NP			PC	1	5	Amend	$1+1+1=3$	$1+3+1 = 5$
Query	NP	EP						Query	$1+1+5=7$	$1+3+5 = 9$

Table 8

## 15 Dynamic Reconfiguration of System Components

System components of the embodiment are dynamically configurable to remove the need to stop and restart the component. For example, it must be possible to reconfigure a component to enable log file production and then at a later stage disable this log file



production, without having to stop and start the component. Also these configuration parameters are centrally stored to ease configuration management and control.

- 5 The QoS subsystem built into any one embodiment may not provide all of these complex measurement and decision support functionalities directly. However, it is clearly to be preferred that it provides support for them. Moreover, it is preferred that the QoS systems are designed in a way to allow integration into existing management facilities that a user may possess.

JAVA, JMX and JAVABEANS are registered trade marks of Sun Microsystems, Inc.

## Claims

- 5 1. A trading system comprising a quality-of-service (QoS) subsystem, which subsystem is operative to impose limitations upon trading activities in order that the performance of a component of the system or of the system as a whole is maintained within specified tolerances.
2. A trading system according to claim 1 in which the QoS subsystem imposes a limit upon the rate at which data can enter the system.
- 10 3. A trading system according to claim 2 in which the QoS subsystem limits the number of requests that will be accepted on an input.
4. A trading system according to claim 3 in which the QoS subsystem controls input the number of requests that can be made in a time slice.
- 15 5. A trading system according to any one of claims 1 to 3 in which the QoS subsystem imposes a limit on the size of burst data that may be received into the system in a time slice.
6. A trading system according to any one of claims 1 to 4 in which the token bucket algorithm is used in order to limit the flow of requests into the system.
7. A trading system according to claim 6 in which the time slice is a sliding time slice.
- 20 8. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem operates such that the system provides a level of service that is dependent upon the identity of a user from which the service originates or to whom it is directed.

9. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem operates such that the system provides a level of service that is dependent upon the nature of a service that is requested.
- 5 10. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem is operative to measure its performance and dynamically reconfigure operation of the system based on these measurements to ensure a defined level of quality-of-service.
- 10 11. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem is operative to increase restrictions on users' access to the system as its load exceeds a predefined limit.
12. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem is operative to assign a priority to a message, messages with a high priority being handled in preference to those with a low priority.
- 15 13. A trading system according to claim 12 in which the priority is determined in accordance with one or more of the sender of the message, the recipient of the message or the content of the message.
- 20 14. A trading system according to claim 12 or claim 13 in which the priority is a numerical value that is calculated by addition of contributed values derived from one or more of the sender of the message, the recipient of the message or the content of the message.
15. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem is operative to control latency and accuracy of communication of data from the trading system to external client applications.
- 25 16. A trading system according to claim 15 in which the client application may request that the data is sent as fast as possible or that data batching may be applied.

17. A trading system according to claim 15 or claim 16 in which the client application may request that all data changes during a period are to be reported or that only the latest data be reported.
- 5 18. A trading system according to any preceding claim in which the QoS subsystem monitors performance of the application by way of Java management extensions.
19. A trading system according to any preceding claim that utilises a rule-based system to control alarm reporting, fault diagnosis and reconfiguration.
- 10 20. A trading system substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.



Application No: GB0404143.0

Examiner: Mr Ben Buchanan

Claims searched: all

Date of search: 5 May 2004

## Patents Act 1977: Search Report under Section 17

### Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Relevant to claims	Identity of document and passage or figure of particular reference
X,Y	X:1, 10, 15 & 19 Y:2-6, 8, 9, 12, 13 & 18	WO 02/01472 A1 (TRADINGSCREEN) see whole document
X,Y	X:1, 10, 15 & 19 Y:2-6, 8, 9, 12, 13 & 18	GB 2379063 A (CURRENEX) see whole document
Y	2-6, 8, 9, 12, 13 & 18	WO 03/107607 A1 (INTEL) see whole document
Y	2-6, 8, 9, 12, 13 & 18	US 2003/0214964 A1 (OLDAK et al) see whole document
Y	2-6, 8, 9, 12, 13 & 18	US 6690647 B1 (INTEL) see whole document
A	-	US 5872976 A (LANDMARK) see whole document

### Categories:

X	Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step	A	Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
Y	Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.	P	Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
&	Member of the same patent family	E	Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

### Field of Search:

Search of GB, EP, WO & US patent documents classified in the following areas of the UKC<sup>W</sup> :



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

G4A

Worldwide search of patent documents classified in the following areas of the IPC<sup>07</sup>

G06F

The following online and other databases have been used in the preparation of this search report

WPI, EPODOC, PAJ, OPTICS